



Municipal Budget Circular for the 2019/20 MTREF

CONTENTS

1. THE SOUTH AFRICAN ECONOMY AT A CROSSROADS	2
2. KEY FOCUS AREAS FOR THE 2019/20 BUDGET PROCESS	4
2.1 LOCAL GOVERNMENT CONDITIONAL GRANTS AND ADDITIONAL ALLOCATIONS	4
2.2 TABLING OF FUNDED BUDGETS	6
2.3 ADDRESSING THE GROWING FINANCIAL CRISIS IN MUNICIPALITIES	6
2.4 MUNICIPAL STANDARD CHART OF ACCOUNTS (MSCOA)	7
3. THE REVENUE BUDGET	9
3.1 MAXIMISING THE REVENUE GENERATION OF THE MUNICIPAL REVENUE BASE.....	9
3.2 ESKOM BULK TARIFF INCREASES.....	10
3.3 WATER TARIFF INCREASES.....	10
4. FUNDING CHOICES AND BUDGETING ISSUES	10
4.1 EMPLOYEE RELATED COSTS	11
4.2 REMUNERATION OF COUNCILORS	11
4.3 BUDGETING FOR TRADE PAYABLES ON TABLE SA3	11
4.4 BUDGETING FOR INVENTORY: WATER.....	12
5. CONDITIONAL GRANT TRANSFERS TO MUNICIPALITIES	13
5.1 SUMMARY OF CHANGES IN THE DIVISION OF REVENUE AMENDMENT BILL, 2018.....	13
5.2 CONDITIONAL GRANT MONITORING SUPPORT AND NON-COMPLIANCE OF IN YEAR MONITORING	15
5.3 SUPPORT AFFORDED TO MUNICIPALITIES REFLECTING SIGNIFICANT UNDERSPENDING	15
5.4 2017/18 UNSPENT CONDITIONAL GRANT PROCESS.....	15
6. PREPARATION OF MUNICIPAL BUDGETS FOR 2019/20 MTREF	16
6.1 SCHEDULE A1 VERSION TO BE USED FOR THE 2019/20 MTREF	16
6.2 ASSISTANCE WITH THE COMPILATION OF BUDGETS	17
7. BUDGET PROCESS AND SUBMISSIONS FOR THE 2019/20 MTREF	18
7.1 SUBMITTING BUDGET DOCUMENTATION AND SCHEDULES FOR 2019/20 MTREF	18
7.2 RETIREMENT OF THE BUDGET REFORM RETURNS (APPENDIX B).....	19
7.3 BUDGET VERIFICATION PROCESS.....	20
7.4 PUBLICATION OF BUDGETS ON MUNICIPAL WEBSITES	20
ANNEXURE A – CHANGES TO MSCOA VERSION 6.3	21
ANNEXURE B – CHANGES TO SCHEDULE A1 – THE ‘EXCEL FORMATS’	22
ANNEXURE C – PARTICIPATION IN RT15-2016 VODACOM TRANSVERSAL CONTRACT	23
ANNEXURE D – MFMA CIRCULAR NO. 71 ALIGNED MSCOA DASHBOARD	24

Introduction

This circular comes at a time where National Treasury needed to respond to a call by the President of the Republic of South Africa for a stimulus package and the implementation of the recovery plan in order to stimulate the economy. The stimulus package call responds to amongst others the recent technical recession, the high percentage of unemployment that sits at 27 per cent, slow economic growth, weakening of the currency amidst rising global interest rates and the strengthening of the US dollar affecting most developing countries.

Local government has a vital role to play in turning around the economy. In many areas of the country, municipal finances are under pressure. This is the result of the rising cost of delivering basic services and weak financial planning and controls, with poor management decisions leading to underinvestment in and insufficient maintenance of infrastructure. In some cases, corrupt practices have taken root in local administrations. Over the period ahead, national transfers to local government will continue to support the delivery of basic services, while incentivising improved performance and the turnaround of troubled municipalities.

In the local space, fiscal prudence cannot be overemphasised, renewed attitude towards revenue management, improvement of governance and financial management to support service delivery including the adoption of funded budgets and implementation of the municipal standard chart of accounts and the long awaited plan to infuse consequence management for maladministration must be the primary way to respond to a stimulus package plan by the President.

The purpose of the annual MFMA budget circular is to guide municipalities with their compilation of the 2019/20 Medium Term Revenue and Expenditure Framework (MTREF), in particular to ensure that funded budgets are adopted by municipal Councils. This means that expenditure must be contained within realistic revenue projections.

In 2010 National Treasury introduced the local government budget and financial reform agenda and since then several projects to further this agenda have been introduced. The current implementation of the Municipal Standard Chart of Accounts (*mSCOA*) and the accompanying “game changers” signals a smarter way forward to strengthening local government finances.

Among the objectives of this budget circular is to demonstrate how municipalities should undertake annual budget preparation in accordance with the budget and financial reform agenda and associated “game changers”.

Municipalities are reminded to refer to the annual budget circulars of the previous years for guidance on budget preparation that is not covered in this circular.

1. The South African economy at a crossroads

South Africa finds itself at a crossroads. The Medium Term Budget Policy Statement (MTBPS) presented by the Minister of Finance, Mr Tito Mboweni highlights the difficult economic and fiscal choices confronting government over the next several years. In the 2018 MTBPS, the Minister of Finance stated that given *the current economic climate the country faces, the government is confronted by difficult economic and fiscal choices over the medium term*. The Minister further indicated that South Africa needs to choose a path that leads to faster and more inclusive economic growth and strengthens private and public sector investment. The path should also stabilise and reduce the national debt, as South Africa cannot afford to borrow at the rate we are currently borrowing at.

The 2018 Budget set out expectations of improved economic performance that proved premature. During the first half of this year, South Africa experienced a technical recession – that is, declining of the two consecutive quarters of Gross Domestic Product (GDP) – driven primarily by contractions in agriculture and mining.

A strengthening US dollar and rising global interest rates have triggered fiscal crises in several major developing countries. South Africa's inflation targeting regime, flexible exchange rate and prudent debt management strategy have protected the economy from some of the global fallout. However, these events have led to a sharp depreciation of the Rand and large increases in government bond yields. It is important to note that the 2018 projected GDP growth forecast has been revised down from 1.5 per cent to 0.7 per cent. Growth is expected to recover gradually to over 2 per cent in 2021 as confidence returns and investment gathers pace.

To promote a return to faster growth and job creation, the President announced an economic stimulus and recovery plan in September 2018. The initiative focuses on five interventions:

- Implementing growth-enhancing economic reforms;
- Reprioritising public spending to support economic growth and job creation;
- Establishing an infrastructure fund;
- Addressing urgent matters in education and health; and
- Investing in municipal social infrastructure improvement.

Increased investment in social and economic infrastructure will be a focus of economic recovery over the medium term. This requires an increased role for private sector and better implementation of government's existing plans.

Similar to the same period last year (2017), the country's tax collection targets have not been met, further reducing the funds available to allocate across the three spheres of government.

The following macro-economic forecasts must be considered when preparing the 2019/20 MTREF municipal budgets.

Table 1.1 Macroeconomic projections, 2017 – 2021

Calendar year	2017 Actual	2018 Estimate	2019	2020 Forecast	2021
<i>Percentage change unless otherwise indicated</i>					
Household consumption	2.2	1.6	1.9	2.3	2.6
Gross fixed-capital formation	0.4	0.9	1.5	2.1	2.9
Real GDP growth	1.3	0.7	1.7	2.1	2.3
GDP at current prices (R billion)	4,651.8	4,949.1	5,317.2	5,724.1	6,167.2
CPI inflation	5.3	4.9	5.6	5.4	5.4
Current account balance (% of GDP)	-2.4	-3.2	-3.2	-3.7	-3.9

Source: Reserve Bank and National Treasury

Note: the fiscal year referred to is the national fiscal year (April to March) which is more closely aligned to the municipal fiscal year (July to June) than the calendar year inflation.

2. Key focus areas for the 2019/20 budget process

2.1 Local government conditional grants and additional allocations

The proposed division of revenue continues to prioritise funding services for poor communities. Allocations to local government subsidise the cost of delivery of free basic services to low-income households, and the infrastructure needed to deliver those services.

The 2018 Medium Term Budget Policy Statement (MTBPS) indicates transfers to local government for the 2019 MTEF are R415.5 billion, of which R269.2 billion is in the form of unconditional allocations while the remainder is conditional grant funding. The allocations for local government over the medium term represent 8.9 per cent of non-interest expenditure and grows to 9.1 per cent during the same period, which constitutes an increase of 7.2 per cent. These are notable increases from 2018 MTEF, which require municipalities, despite the rising cost of providing basic services, find innovative, effective and efficient ways of making better use of the limited resources, given the current economic situation.

The equitable share and the allocation of the general fuel levy to local government constitute unconditional funding. Municipalities are reminded that this funding allocation is formula driven and designed to fund the provision of free basic services to disadvantaged communities.

Conditional grant funding must be utilised for the intended purpose within the stipulated timeframes, as specified in the annual Division of Revenue Act. Monies not spent must be returned to the fiscus and requests for roll-overs will only be considered where unforeseen and unavoidable circumstances led to underspending of conditional grants.

The annual Division of Revenue Bill will be tabled in February 2019 when the Minister of Finance delivers the budget speech. The grant allocations will be specified in this Bill and municipalities must reconcile their budgets to the numbers published therein.

Municipalities are advised to use the indicative numbers presented in the 2018 Division of Revenue Act to compile their 2019/20 MTREF. In terms of the outer year allocations (2021/22 financial year), it is proposed that municipalities conservatively limit funding allocations to the indicative numbers as proposed in the 2018 Division of Revenue Act for 2020/21. The DoRA is available at:

<http://www.treasury.gov.za/documents/national%20budget/2018/default.aspx>

Changes to local government allocations

- Over the MTEF period, R415.5 billion will be transferred to local government, including R146.3 billion in infrastructure conditional grants. The largest transfer to municipalities is the local government equitable share, which grows by 9.9 per cent in 2019/20, 9.7 per cent in 2020/21 and 8.6 per cent in 2021/22. These above-inflation increases are due to expected growth in household numbers, and higher bulk water and electricity costs.
- Government will strengthen municipal capacity to improve the use of these allocations. Although the rules have been changed to allow municipalities to use grant funds to refurbish infrastructure, develop water conservation projects and maintain roads if certain conditions are met – few municipalities have taken advantage of these provisions. The national departments that administer these grants are improving their capacity to support municipalities and to assess proposed projects.

- It should be emphasised that the widespread decline in the quality and performance of essential municipal infrastructure significantly constrains the potential for inclusive economic growth. Municipalities should prioritise the maintenance and where necessary the refurbishment of essential municipal infrastructure.
- In many areas of the country, municipal finances are under pressure. This is the result of the rising cost of delivering basic services and weak financial planning and controls, with poor management decisions leading to underinvestment in and insufficient maintenance of infrastructure. In some cases, corrupt practices have taken root in local administrations. Over the period ahead, national transfers to local government will continue to support the delivery of basic services, while incentivising improved performance and the turnaround of troubled municipalities.
- The Department of Cooperative Governance (DCoG) has managed the process to assess applications from municipalities to shift from the Municipal Infrastructure Grant to the new Integrated Urban Development Grant. This new grant has different planning requirements and incentives intended to more integrated developments and greater leveraging of non-grant finance. Several municipalities applied to join this grant during 2018 and the Department of Cooperative Governance (DCoG) will notify those municipalities that have qualified. All other non-metropolitan municipalities should continue to adhere to the Municipal Infrastructure Grant's project registration processes in preparation for 2019/20.
- More than 3.1 million South Africans live in informal settlements, over half of which are in metropolitan municipalities. Over the medium term, informal-settlement upgrading will intensify. On-site upgrading of settlements involves providing municipal services and security of tenure to households. This will encourage residents to improve their own dwellings. Public programmes and funding mechanisms will focus on allowing more flexible and differentiated improvements to settlements. Government will prioritise engagement with communities and their inclusion in upgrading, for example through helping to build and maintain infrastructure. New conditions and ring-fenced funding for upgrading will be included in the urban settlements development grant for metropolitan areas and the human settlements development grant for provinces in 2019/20. Following the pilot phase, government intends to introduce separate metropolitan and provincial grants for informal settlement upgrading. With most electrification backlogs in metros in informal settlements, it is also proposed that allocations for the integrated national electrification programme (municipal) grant be incorporated into the new grant mechanism in these cities.

Reforms to local government fiscal framework

Metropolitan areas and other large cities fund most of their operational budgets from revenues they raise themselves. There is ample scope for creditworthy municipalities with strong financial management to increase local capital investment by expanding municipal borrowing. In 2017/18, half of infrastructure spending by metros and large cities was still funded from transfers, primarily from national government. Reforms over the medium term will enhance the ability of municipalities to raise revenue to invest in their own development.

Government will introduce: Policy reforms to clarify the role of development finance institutions in municipal borrowing and to regulate municipal development charges are under way to broaden municipal access to private capital markets. Financing arrangements with development finance institutions and multilateral development institutions will include much needed technical assistance to improve project planning, preparation and implementation. Government is also updating the policy framework for municipal borrowing and financial emergencies.

The purpose is to establish a system which not only ensures stability and certainty in local government finances, but also seeks to implicitly create incentives and attract more players in the municipal debt market space, i.e. insurers, pension funds, fund managers and DFIs.

2.2 Tabling of funded budgets

The importance of tabling funded budgets is highlighted in MFMA Circular No. 74 and 89. Adopting a funded budget has become more critical now than before as it has direct bearing on the financial sustainability of the institution. It has been agreed that no municipality will adopt an unfunded budget in the future. There are cases that may warrant a plan as this may not be achievable over one year. As a result, such municipalities must, together with their 2019/20 MTREF budget, table a plan in a municipal council on how and by when the budget will improve from an unfunded to a funded position. This will be essential when the National and provincial treasuries are assessing the budget to determine its credibility. The assessment of the 2018/19 MTREF budgets have shown a deterioration with more budgets being unfunded. Plans and support will have to be re-evaluated to produce a positive outcome.

2.3 Addressing the growing financial crisis in municipalities

In 2018/19, 113 municipalities adopted unfunded budgets, compared to 83 in the prior year. In addition, municipalities owe more than R23 billion in arrears, including to Eskom and water boards. Although the primary responsibility to resolve these financial problems rests with municipalities themselves, the Constitution states that when a municipality is in financial crisis, the provincial government must intervene – and if the province is not able to, then national government must do so. However, few past interventions have succeeded in producing a sustained turnaround.

Over R2.5 billion has been allocated per year over the 2019/20 MTREF to enable National and provincial treasuries to better manage interventions. This will strengthen the National Treasury's Municipal Financial Recovery Service (MFRS) capacity to draft financial recovery plans for municipalities and that of provinces to implement these plans. These recovery plans set revenue and spending targets for the municipality and identify specific revenue-raising measures.

The new grant that government had proposed to help municipalities facing financial crisis will no longer be introduced. The funds set aside for this will instead be reprioritised for other initiatives that will assist the turnaround of municipalities. Ultimately, sustainable financial recovery will require improved governance within the affected municipalities following the intervention. And better use of grants, together with improved maintenance, will also reduce pollution from wastewater treatment works, which has become a more pressing concern in a number of municipalities.

Government also provides extensive support to build municipal capacity, including over R2.5 billion per year allocated for this purpose in the budget. The growing number of distressed municipalities indicates the need to make better use of these resources. The current system will be reviewed during 2019.

2.4 Municipal Standard Chart of Accounts (mSCOA)

Release of Version 6.3 of the Chart

On an annual basis, the mSCOA chart is reviewed to address implementation challenges and correct chart related errors. Towards this end, Version 6.3 is released with this circular (see Annexure A). Version 6.3 of the chart will be effective from 2019/20 and must be used to compile the 2019/20 MTREF and is available on the link below:

<http://mfma.treasury.gov.za/RegulationsandGazettes/MunicipalRegulationsOnAStandardChartOfAccountsFinal/Pages/default.aspx>

Amendments of adopted budget errors during the adjustments budget

During the 2018/19 budget verification process it was evident that municipalities are still not able to align mSCOA original budget data string to the original budget adopted by municipal council. The root cause for the discrepancies is that municipalities do not plan, test and generate the Schedules A1 directly from the financial systems but prepare the Schedules A1 manually on excel spreadsheets and then captured the tabled and original budgets on the system (or worse, the core system vendor does it for them) after these were tabled and adopted in Council.

Furthermore, some municipalities prepared their tabled budgets in the financial system but made changes to it during community consultation and council meeting(s). These changes were effected to the Schedule A that served before Council but never made on the financial system. Hence, the municipality started transacting against the tabled budget on the system instead of the adopted budget. In terms of the mSCOA Regulations, the budget must be approved and locked on the financial system prior to the municipality transacting against it on the financial system.

In terms of the MFMA and Municipal Budget and Reporting Regulations, errors in the budget can only be corrected during the adjustments budget process. Municipalities should therefore ensure that their adjusted budget data strings align to Schedule B adopted by municipal council. National and provincial treasuries will undertake a verification process on the adjusted budgets in relation to the data strings. Municipalities are advised to submit the draft adjusted budget data strings and Schedule B in advance for verification purposes to ensure that aligned documents are adopted by municipal council by 28 February 2019.

Changing of the Core Financial System

Municipalities must follow the required due diligence processes required in terms of MFMA Circular 80 and mSCOA Circulars No. 5 and 6 prior to changing their core financial systems. In terms of these circulars:

1. A municipality must conduct an ICT due diligence of all the existing ICT system(s).
2. Once this ICT Due Diligence has been completed, the municipality's mSCOA Project Steering Committee (chaired by the Accounting Officer) must:
 - a) Assess whether the municipality's existing system(s) as a package, meets the systems comply with the functionality requirements for its category and 15 business processes required in terms of mSCOA. This should be the main consideration in the decision on whether a new financial system is required;
 - b) Consider the cost of any additional functionality the municipality will have to procure from its existing package of service provider(s) and the affordability

thereof to the municipality considering its budget. Importantly, if a municipality did not budget to purchase or upgrade their core financial system or any component thereof in the budget adopted by Council, then the purchase will have to be deferred to the next financial year to avoid irregular expenditure;

- c) Compare the total cost of its existing 'package of system(s)', including the cost for any additional functionality with the other available service offerings for its category; and
 - d) Consider the penalties and reasons for contract termination in any of its contracts with existing service providers.
3. The project steering committee must document its decision and recommendation(s) on the way forward (relating to the items listed above) for the municipality and its municipal entities (on the municipality's 'package of existing system(s)'), clearly setting-out its findings.
 4. Once the municipality's *m*SCOA project steering committee has made its decision and recommendation(s), the municipality must solicit the comments of the National Treasury and Provincial Treasury. A detailed motivation on the need to change the core financial system should be provided to the National and Provincial Treasuries, the Municipal Manager and Council.
 5. Once the municipality has received the comments of the National Treasury and the Provincial Treasuries, the *m*SCOA Project Steering Committee and Municipal Manager should consider such comments and prepare a recommendation to the Municipal Council in this regard.
 6. The municipal manager must submit a copy of the municipal council's decision to the National Treasury and Provincial Treasury within five (5) working days after the meeting during which the Municipal Council has made the decision in this regard.
 7. **The municipality should then follow its own tender processes to procure a financial system on the open market until such time that a new transversal tender for the procurement of integrated municipal financial and internal control systems are in place.** Thereafter, municipalities may request permission from National Treasury's Office of the Chief Procurement Officer to use the transversal tender to procure a financial system.
 8. The municipality should ensure that the Service Level Agreement entered into with the system provider provides for specific and realistic milestones and include penalties and termination clauses for failing to meet milestones. In addition, municipalities should implement proper contract management and exercising tight control over contractual obligations by system providers.

The National Treasury has also secured funding to conduct independent audits on all municipal core financial systems to determine to what extent these systems comply with the functionality requirements and 15 business processes required in terms of *m*SCOA. These results will also inform the new transversal tender for the procurement of municipal financial and internal control systems in 2019. Until these audits have been concluded and the results have been released, municipalities should exercise caution when changing their financial system to avoid purchasing a system that do not comply with the necessary *m*SCOA functionality requirements.

Municipalities are advised to use their internal audit function to ensure that the correct process was followed. Internal audit must ensure that the municipality has complied with the requirements of *m*SCOA and the reports of internal audit must be tabled at audit committee and at municipal council for their consideration.

3. The revenue budget

The economic situation has not improved since the previous financial year. Therefore, municipalities are reminded as it was communicated in MFMA Circular No. 89 that weak economic growth has put stress on consumers' ability to pay for services, while transfers from national government are growing more slowly than in the past. Some municipalities have managed these challenges well, but others have fallen into financial distress and face liquidity problems. These include municipalities that are unable to meet their payment obligations to Eskom, water boards and other creditors. There is a need for municipalities to focus on collecting revenues owed to them, and eliminate wasteful and non-core spending. Municipal budgets will be scrutinised to ensure that municipalities adequately provide to service their debt obligations. Municipalities must ensure that expenditure is limited to the maximum revenue collected and not spend on money that they do not have.

National Treasury encourages municipalities to maintain tariff increases at levels that reflect an appropriate balance between the affordability to poorer households and other customers while ensuring the financial sustainability of the municipality. The Consumer Price Index (CPI) inflation is forecasted to be within the upper limit of the 3 to 6 per cent target band; therefore, municipalities are required to **justify all increases in excess of the projected inflation target for 2019/20** in their budget narratives, and pay careful attention to the differential incidence of tariff increases across all consumer groups. In addition, municipalities should include details of their revenue growth assumptions for the different service charges in the budget narrative.

3.1 Maximising the revenue generation of the municipal revenue base

Municipalities must comply with Section 18 of the MFMA and ensure that they fund their 2019/20 MTREF budgets from realistically anticipated revenues to be collected. Subsequently, municipalities are cautioned against assuming collection rates that are unrealistic and unattainable as this has been identified as a fundamental reason for municipalities in financial distress.

It is critical to compare the valuation roll data to that of the billing system to ensure that revenue anticipated from property rates are realistic. The list of exceptions derived from this reconciliation will provide an indication of where the municipality may be compromising its revenue generation in respect of property rates. A further test would be to reconcile this with the deeds office registry. This reconciliation should be undertaken quarterly. Towards this end, municipalities are requested to submit the following information to the National Treasury:

1. A copy of the approved current General Valuation Roll of the municipality;
2. A copy of the approved Supplementary Valuation Roll of the municipality;
3. An extract from the financial system showing the property rates information (preferably in excel);
4. The detail of the reconciliation between the GVR and the financial system illustrating the variances; and
5. The proposed process to rectify the variances.

In addition, the format below (excel) is required that captures a high level summary of the exercise.

Rates Category	Approved General Valuation Roll		Financial System		Variance	Comments
	Number of Properties	Consolidated Value of Properties	Number of Properties	Consolidated Value of Properties		

The above information must be submitted on a CD or USB to the LGBA, for attention:

For couriered documents

Ms Linda Kruger
National Treasury
40 Church Square
Pretoria, 0002

For posted documents

Ms Linda Kruger
National Treasury
Private Bag X115
Pretoria, 0001

In addition, municipalities that maintain an indigent register and not utilise a targeted approach, are requested to periodically review the indigent register to verify that beneficiaries are still legible for the subsidy that they obtain. Municipalities are also encouraged to revise their Local Economic Development strategies to have a targeted approach to alleviate the situation of the indigents as part of poverty alleviation.

3.2 Eskom bulk tariff increases

The National Energy Regulator of South Africa (NERSA) develops the municipal tariff guideline increase based on Eskom's approved bulk price increase of electricity to municipalities and the increase on the municipalities' cost structures. Eskom has made a revenue application for the 2019/20 financial year, but NERSA has yet to make a decision on the application. Municipalities should refer to www.nersa.org.za for updates on the outcome of this process. Municipal bulk tariff increases will depend on the outcome of that process.

Municipalities are also urged to examine the cost structure of providing electricity services and to apply to NERSA for electricity tariff increases that reflect the total cost of providing the service so that they work towards achieving fully cost-reflective tariffs that will help them achieve financial sustainability. Municipalities in arrears with Eskom should ensure that their payment arrangements are effected in their 2019/20 MTREF budget.

3.3 Water tariff increases

Drought conditions makes it difficult for some municipalities to improve revenue generation from this service. It is now more important to improve demand management, infrastructure maintenance, loss management, meter reading and tariff setting in respect of water services.

Municipalities must ensure that the tariffs charged are able to cover for the cost of bulk purchases, ongoing operations as well as provision for future infrastructure. There are municipalities that are struggling to pay water boards due to a number of issues, one of which is poor financial sustainability and cost recovery. All municipalities in arrears with bulk suppliers must ensure that their payment arrangements are effected in their 2019/20 MTREF budget.

Accounting officers should take note that failure to undertake proper due diligence in terms of the affordability of payment arrangements and making the necessary provision in the municipality's budget will be considered as an act of financial misconduct and the necessary action in terms of chapter 15 of the MFMA read with the Municipal Regulations on Financial Misconduct Procedures and Criminal Proceedings, 2014, will have to be taken.

4. Funding choices and budgeting issues

As a result of the economic landscape and weak tariff setting, municipalities are under pressure to generate additional revenue. The ability of customers to pay for services continues to decline, leading to limited revenue collection. Therefore, municipalities must consider the following when compiling their 2019/20 MTREF budgets:

- improving the effectiveness of revenue management processes and procedures;

- paying special attention to cost containment measures by, amongst other things, controlling unnecessary spending on nice-to-have items and non-essential activities as was highlighted in MFMA Circular No. 82;
- ensuring value for money through the procurement process;
- the affordability of providing free basic services to all households; and
- curbing consumption of water and electricity by the indigents to ensure that they do not exceed their allocation.

Accounting officers are reminded of their responsibility in terms of Section 62(1)(a) of the MFMA to use the resources of the municipality effectively, efficiently and economically. Failure to do this will result in the accounting officer committing an act of financial misconduct which will trigger the application of Chapter 15 of the MFMA read with the Municipal Regulations on Financial Misconduct Procedures and Criminal Proceedings.

4.1 Employee related costs

The *Salary and Wage Collective Agreement* for the period 01 July 2018 to 30 June 2021 dated 15 August 2018 through the South African Local Government Bargaining Council Circular No. 6 of 2018 should be used when budgeting for employee related costs for the 2019 MTREF. Municipalities are encouraged to perform an annual head count and payroll verification process by undertaking a once a year manual salary disbursement, in order to root out ghost employees.

4.2 Remuneration of councilors

Municipalities are advised to budget for the actual costs approved in accordance with the Government Gazette No. 20 of 1998 on the Remuneration of Public Office Bearers Act: Determination of Upper Limits of Salaries, Allowances and Benefits of different members of municipal councils published annually between December and January by the Department of Cooperative Governance (DCoG). Any overpayment to councilors contrary to the upper limits as published by the Minister of Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs will be irregular expenditure in terms of Section 167 of the MFMA and must be recovered from the councilor(s) concerned.

4.3 Budgeting for Trade Payables on Table SA3

Municipalities raised concerns about the calculation used for determining the creditors' payment period ratio. As a result, an analysis was undertaken of the note in the Annual Financial Statements relating to Trade Payables from Exchange Transactions as it is disclosed on the face of the Statement of Financial Position. The analysis revealed that this liability includes creditors which do not have a direct impact on the ratio, such as payments received in advance, funds administered on behalf of third parties, retention, accrued staff leave, license fees etc.

The inclusion of such liabilities as "Trade and Other-Payables from Exchange Transactions" under "Working Capital – Creditors due" on Table A8 has an impact on the funding assessment as they are considered when determining whether the budget is funded as per the Municipal Budget and Reporting Regulations schedules. Table A8 is separated into three disclosures which are:

- Unspent Grants – linked by a formula from Table SA3;
- Working Capital – Creditors due - linked by a formula from Table SA3 that currently includes all Trade and Other-Payables from Exchange Transactions as explained above; and

- Statutory Requirements – This refers to VAT and taxation and is not linked by a formula to any table as it may include VAT and taxation receivable included under Other Debtors in Table A6 and/or VAT and taxation payable included in Table SA3.

The disclosure above may be adequate in terms of the requirements to determine the funding of the budget on Table A8, but it does not assist with disclosing Trade Payables (“true trade creditors”) for the purpose of calculating the expenditure management efficiency ratio “Creditors Payment Period (Trade Creditors)” in terms of the National Treasury Circular No. 71.

Accordingly, Table SA3 has been amended to separate Trade and Other Creditors into two separate lines. The revised disclosure will be as follows:

- Trade payables - (should be “true creditors” only);
- Other creditors – (should include all the above liabilities, except VAT that is disclosed separately);
- Unspent conditional transfers; and
- VAT.

“Trade Payables” and “Other Creditors” will be added together for the purpose of calculating “Creditors Due” in row 31 under the working capital calculation on Table A8.

4.4 Budgeting for Inventory: Water

Bulk water purchases meet the criteria of the definition of inventory as per GRAP 12, paragraph .07. Therefore, water bulk purchases should be treated as inventory and should be budgeted and accounted for accordingly. National Treasury issued guidance on the treatment of non-revenue water and electricity in Annexure B to the MFMA Circular No. 70 for the 2014/15 MTREF. However, since the issue of that circular, National Treasury has allowed municipalities to either budget for water bulk purchases as a direct expense in the Statement of Financial Performance as an interim measure or to account for water under inventory. The *mSCOA* chart also makes provision for such. However, to ensure compliance to GRAP 12 the necessary amendments must be effected to the Schedule A tables and the chart.

National Treasury considered the impact that the changes to the Schedule A tables will have on municipalities, as a result it was resolved that they are significant and should not be implemented in the 2019/20 MTREF. This entails a change in approach where water bulk purchases will be processed as a cash transaction in the Statement of Financial Position – Acquisition of Inventory (Table A6). The system input volume (acquisitions) of water stock includes the following:

- Bulk purchases - Supply from bulk or other water service providers recognised by the amount paid;
- Water purified - Potable supply from Water Treatment Works. Value is to be determined by calculating primary and secondary cost components; and
- Natural sources - Supply from boreholes, springs, fountains if not supplied through the water treatment plant. Value is to be determined by calculating primary and secondary cost components.

It is therefore evident that the total input volume of water as it relates to water purified and water from natural sources should include both primary and secondary cost components. The allocation of secondary cost to the input volume of water will necessitate the utilisation of the Costing Segment of the *mSCOA* chart.

Municipalities are cautioned that the Schedule A for the 2020/21 MTREF will be amended in line with the prescripts of GRAP12 and *m*SCOA in relation to the treatment of water bulk purchases as inventory. Therefore, municipalities will be required to budget accordingly and should now in advance consider the requirements necessary to facilitate this conversion and to ensure accurate configuration of their financial systems as it is a *m*SCOA requirement that the schedules must be extracted directly from the system. Municipalities are urged to refer to the *m*SCOA chart on the definitions and the detail of what constitutes water inventory consumed (cost of sales). Furthermore, reference should be made to the Department of Water and Sanitation (DWS) Municipal Water Balance Guideline for guiding principles which is accessible on the link below:

[Department of Water and Sanitation \(DWS\) Municipal Water Balance Guideline](#)

5. Conditional Grant transfers to Municipalities

5.1 Summary of changes in the Division of Revenue Amendment Bill, 2018

An adjustments budget provides for unforeseen and unavoidable expenditure; appropriation of monies already announced during the tabling of the annual budget (but not allocated at that stage); the shifting of funds between and within votes where a function is transferred; the utilisation of savings; and the roll-over of unspent funds from the preceding financial year. If the adjustments budget effects changes to the division of revenue as contained in the Division of Revenue Act for the relevant year, the Minister of Finance must table a Division of Revenue Amendment Bill with the revised framework. There are amendments to the 2018 Budget that affect the Division of Revenue Act, 2018 which will be effected through the Division of Revenue Amendment Act, 2018, once enacted. The amendments as they impact on provinces and municipalities are discussed below.

Funding for drought relief

The Minister of Finance announced during the 2018 Budget Speech that, “a provisional allocation of R6 billion has been set aside in 2018/19 for several purposes, including drought relief and to augment public infrastructure investment.” National Treasury managed a process to determine the allocation of drought relief funds that included two windows for applications. All applications were reviewed together with inputs from sector departments and the National Disaster Management Centre. A total of R3.4 billion in drought response funding has been allocated to all three spheres of government, including several direct and indirect grant allocations, which are included in this Bill.

A total of R1.98 billion is added to direct conditional grants for drought relief. These amounts have already been gazetted on 4 October 2018, after the Minister of Finance approved that the funds could be spent in terms of Section 6(1) of the Appropriation Act, 2018, (Act No. 4 of 2018). This section provides for the Minister of Finance to approve expenditure before an adjustment appropriation bill is enacted if that expenditure cannot reasonably be delayed without negatively affecting service delivery and such expenditure was announced during the tabling of the 2018/19 national annual budget. The following amounts have been added to direct conditional grants to municipalities:

- R288.1 million for the water services infrastructure grant to local government. This allocation will fund a variety of water supply augmentation projects for drought affected municipalities, including drilling and equipping boreholes to access groundwater and implementing water conservation measures where the reduction of water losses will improve the sustainability of supply.

- R1.03 billion for the municipal disaster recovery grant to local government. Funds allocated through this grant will be transferred to three metropolitan municipalities that have been severely impacted by the drought: Cape Town, Nelson Mandela Bay and Mangaung. The funds will subsidise the costs of water augmentation projects, including groundwater development and water conservation measures where the reduction of water losses will improve the sustainability of supply. All of these cities are also expected to contribute funds from their own revenue base to their water augmentation projects.

The following amounts have been added to indirect conditional grants that benefit local government:

- R1.01 billion for the indirect water services infrastructure grant. This allocation will fund a variety of water supply augmentation projects for drought affected municipalities, including drilling and equipping boreholes to access groundwater and implementing water conservation measures where the reduction of water losses will improve the sustainability of supply.
- R6 million for the indirect regional bulk infrastructure grant. This allocation will fund a water supply augmentation project in Ndlambe Local Municipality affected by drought. The municipality is already receiving an allocation managed through this indirect grant in the 2018/19 financial year.

Additional changes to local government allocations

- *Additional allocations to support disaster recovery*

R143.3 million is added to the municipal disaster recovery grant for post disaster repair and rehabilitation projects in a number of municipalities in KwaZulu-Natal and Western Cape. The municipalities in KwaZulu-Natal are allocated a total of R138.7 million for the repair and rehabilitation of roads, storm water and sanitation infrastructure and community facilities that were damaged by floods. R4.6 million is allocated for the repair and rehabilitation of water and electricity infrastructure in the Bitou Local Municipality in Western Cape.

- *Additional allocation for the public transport network grant*

R33 million is added to the public transport network grant for the City of Cape Town to begin detailed design on a new phase of the MyCiti public transport network approved through the Budget Facility for Infrastructure.

- *Conversion of the municipal systems improvement grant from Schedule 6, Part B (indirect) to Schedule 5, Part B (direct)*

R23.2 million of the municipal systems improvement grant will be converted from Schedule 6, Part B (indirect) to Schedule 5, Part B (direct) for 22 municipalities affected by major boundary changes that took effect after the 2016 local government elections. This amount was made available in the indirect municipal systems improvement grant in 2018/19, to assist with the completion of transitional work in the affected municipalities, following the end of the municipal demarcation transition grant (Schedule 5, Part B (direct)) at the end of the 2017/18 financial year.

These municipalities have been implementing the institutional and administrative changes that were required as a result of the boundary changes, including with funding from the former municipal demarcation transition grant. This conversion supports these 22 municipalities to complete the implementation of these transitional matters.

5.2 Conditional grant monitoring support and Non-compliance of in year monitoring

National Treasury has through its in-year Division of Revenue Act monitoring observed that certain departments that administer municipal grants have not fully complied with the provisions of the Act. Notably the national departments of Transport and Water Services have not fully transferred some of the transfers that were due to the municipalities. Stringent measures are being put in place to ensure compliance to the Division of Revenue Act, 2018 (Act No 1 of 2018) in that regard.

Furthermore, National Treasury has facilitated an adjustment gazette in terms of the Division of Revenue Act in terms of distressed municipalities that could not safeguard the transfers bestowed to them but also to reallocate some of these grants to the respective district municipalities in support of their distressed locals. Government gazette No. 42067 of 28 November 2018 provides for the following in this regard:

Municipal Infrastructure Grant (MIG)

National Treasury in consultation with the Department of Cooperative Governance (DCoG), concluded that municipalities reflecting significant uncommitted underspending would have their funds stopped within four provinces, namely: Eastern Cape, Free State, Northern Cape and North West, and be re-allocated to the respective District Municipalities for delivery on behalf of their locals.

The Municipal Emergency Housing Grant (MEHG)

The Department of Human Settlements (DHS) recommended to National Treasury that an allocation of an amount of R3.9 million is allocated through MEHG following a fire disaster that damaged most of the informal settlements within the Bitou LM in the Western Cape in order to fund the relocation costs and Transitional Residential Area units.

The Neighbourhood Development Partnership Grant (NDPG)

Based on Msunduzi Local Municipality's (LM) request, R27.4 million is stopped from Msunduzi's 2018/19 allocation and re-allocated to West Rand District Municipality (DM) in Gauteng province and City of Matlosana LM in North West province. West Rand DM will receive R20 million, while City of Matlosana LM will be allocated R7.4 million of the R27.4 million stopped from Msunduzi LM.

5.3 Support afforded to municipalities reflecting significant underspending

The municipalities that are affected by the stopping process due to governance and financial challenges will continue to receive support from National Treasury, DCoG and the relevant stakeholders on the MIG cost reimbursement and invoice verification which has been ongoing in the current financial year.

5.4 2017/18 Unspent conditional grant process

National Treasury has concluded the assessment of the 2017/18 conditional grant rollovers and the verification of the unspent conditional grants. This is a process that is governed by Section 22 of the 2017 Division of Revenue Act.

National Treasury through this process undertakes to travel to all provinces on a roadshow and set-up a combined session with all provincial treasuries and all sector departments responsible for administering conditional grants. In these sessions, rollover requests from municipalities are assessed in accordance to the division of revenue act and the guiding MFMA Circular. It is a process that begins on 31 August 2018 and ends 03 December 2018.

Municipalities with unspent conditional grants that were not approved for rollover, are afforded an opportunity to refund the portion of the unspent funds to the National Revenue Fund (NRF). In instances wherein the unspent funds are not refunded to the NRF, National Treasury in accordance to Section 22 of the Division of Revenue Act, offsets these funds against the equitable share allocations.

6. Preparation of Municipal Budgets for 2019/20 MTREF

6.1 Schedule A1 version to be used for the 2019/20 MTREF

National Treasury has released Version 6.3 of the Schedule A1 (the Excel Formats) which is aligned to Version 6.3 of the mSCOA classification framework and must be used when compiling the 2019/20 MTREF budget. Refer to Annexure B for the changes to this version of the Schedule A1.

ALL municipalities **MUST** use this version for the preparation of their 2019/20 MTREF budget.

It is imperative that all municipalities prepare their 2019/20 MTREF budgets in their financial systems and that the Schedule A1 be produced directly from their financial system. Vendors have recently demonstrated their budget modules to the National Treasury and provincial treasuries. All financial systems have this functionality to assist and prepare budgets and to generate the prescribed Schedule A1 directly from the financial system. Municipalities **must** start early enough to capture their tabled budget (and later the adopted budget) in the budget module provided and **must** ensure that they produce their Schedule A1 directly out of the budget module.

To promote this approach, from the **2020/21 MTREF** the National Treasury will only accept a Schedule A1 in PDF format, containing ALL sheets as prescribed and with each worksheet displaying an embedded system stamp to certify that it has been produced directly from the system. For purposes of collecting additional data which we previously did using the Schedule A1 in Excel, a prescribed data string containing the data must be populated and uploaded by each municipality (refer to the attachment to MFMA Budget Circular No 93 on the website).

<http://mfma.treasury.gov.za/Circulars/Pages/default.aspx>

Special attention must be given to the supporting schedules in the prescribed Schedule A1. Where detailed data is lying in a sub-system e.g. human resource data for SA22 to SA24, this data must be pulled from the sub-system into the applicable supporting sheet and must form part of the complete endorsed Schedule A1.

Version 6.3 of Schedule A1 is available on the following link:

The Municipal Budget and Reporting Regulations, formats and associated guides are available on National Treasury's website at:

<http://mfma.treasury.gov.za/RegulationsandGazettes/Pages/default.aspx>

6.2 Assistance with the compilation of budgets

If municipalities require advice with the compilation of their respective budgets, specifically the budget documents or Schedule A1, they should direct their enquiries to their respective provincial treasuries or to the following National Treasury officials:

Province	Responsible NT officials	Tel. No.	Email
Eastern Cape	Bernard Mokgabodi	012-315 5936	Bernard.Mokgabodi@treasury.gov.za
	Matjatji Mashoeshoe	012-315 5553	Matjatji.Mashoeshoe@treasury.gov.za
Free State	Jordan Maja	012-315 5663	Jordan.Maja@treasury.gov.za
	Cethekile Moshane	012-315 5079	Cethekile.moshane@treasury.gov.za
Gauteng	Kgomotso Baloyi	012-315 5866	Kgomotso.Baloyi@treasury.gov.za
KwaZulu-Natal	Bernard Mokgabodi	012-315 5936	Bernard.Mokgabodi@treasury.gov.za
	Johan Botha	012-315 5171	Johan.Botha@treasury.gov.za
Limpopo	Una Rautenbach	012-315 5700	Una.Rautenbach@treasury.gov.za
	Sifiso Mabaso	012-315 5952	Sifiso.Mabaso@treasury.gov.za
Mpumalanga	Willem Voigt	012-315 5830	WillemCordes.Voigt@treasury.gov.za
	Mandla Gilimani	012-315 5807	Mandla.Gilimani@treasury.gov.za
Northern Cape	Jordan Maja	012-315 5663	Jordan.Maja@treasury.gov.za
	Anthony Moseki	012-315 5174	Anthony.Moseki@treasury.gov.za
North West	Willem Voigt	012-315 5830	WillemCordes.Voigt@treasury.gov.za
	Makgabo Mabotja	012-315 5156	Makgabo.Mabotja@treasury.gov.za
Western Cape	Kgomotso Baloyi	012-315 5866	Kgomotso.Baloyi@treasury.gov.za
	Kevin Bell	012-315 5725	Kevin.Bell@treasury.gov.za
Technical issues with Excel formats	Elsabe Rossouw	012-315 5534	lgdataqueries@treasury.gov.za

National and provincial treasuries, will undertake a compliance check and, where municipalities have not provided complete budget information, the municipal budgets will be returned to the mayors and municipal managers of the affected municipalities for the necessary corrections. Municipal managers are reminded that the annual budget must be accompanied by a quality certificate and council resolution in accordance with the format specified in item 31 of Schedule A of the Municipal Budget and Reporting Regulations.

The National Treasury would like to emphasise that where municipalities have not adhered to the Municipal Budget and Reporting Regulations, **they will be required to go back to the municipal Council and table a complete budget document aligned to the requirement of the Municipal Budget and Reporting Regulations. In addition, where municipalities have adopted an unfunded budget, they will be required to correct the budget to ensure they adopt and implement a funded budget. However, where there are challenges the process indicated in paragraph 2.2 above will be applied.**

Municipalities with municipal entities are once again reminded to prepare consolidated budgets and in-year monitoring reports for both the parent municipality and its entity or entities. The following must be compiled:

- An annual budget, adjustments budget and monthly financial reports for the parent municipality in the relevant formats;
- An annual budget, adjustments budget and monthly financial reports for the entity in the relevant formats; and
- A consolidated annual budget, adjustments budget and monthly financial reports for the parent municipality and all its municipal entities in the relevant formats.

The A Schedule that the municipality submits to National Treasury must be a consolidated budget for the municipality (including entities) and the budget of the parent municipality. D schedules must be submitted for each entity.

7. Budget process and submissions for the 2019/20 MTREF

7.1 Submitting budget documentation and schedules for 2019/20 MTREF

To facilitate oversight of compliance with the Municipal Budget and Reporting Regulations, accounting officers are reminded that:

- Section 22(b)(i) of the MFMA requires that, **immediately** after an annual budget is tabled in a municipal council, it must be submitted to the National Treasury and the relevant provincial treasury in both printed and electronic formats. If the annual budget is tabled to council on 29 March 2019, the final date of submission of the electronic budget documents and corresponding electronic returns is **Monday, 01 April 2019**. The deadline for submission of hard copies including council resolution is **Friday, 05 April 2019**.
- Section 24(3) of the MFMA, read together with regulation 20(1) of the Municipal Budget and Reporting Regulations, requires that the approved annual budget must be submitted to both National Treasury and the relevant provincial treasury **within ten working days** after the council has approved the annual budget. E.g. if the council approves the annual budget on 31 May 2019, the final date for such a submission is Friday, 14 June 2019.

The municipal manager must submit:

- the budget documentation as set out in Schedule A (version 6.3) of the Municipal Budget and Reporting Regulations, including the main Tables (A1 - A10) and ALL the supporting tables (SA1 – SA38) in PDF format with stamp that will confirm production directly out of the financial system;
- the draft service delivery and budget implementation plan in both printed and electronic format;
- the draft integrated development plan;
- the council resolution;
- signed Quality Certificate as prescribed in the Municipal Budget and Reporting Regulations; and
- schedules D specific for the entities.

Budget related documents and schedules must be uploaded by approved registered users using the LG Upload Portal at:

<https://lguploadportal.treasury.gov.za/>

Please note that the LG Upload Portal does not have size restrictions to the documents but requires all documents to:

1. be in PDF format; and
2. each PDF file must NOT contain multiple document e.g. council resolution and quality certificate within the budget document.

Municipalities may still send electronic versions of documents and the Schedule A1 to lgdocuments@treasury.gov.za but only when experiencing problems with the LG Upload Portal. Any problems experienced in this regard can be addressed with Elsabe Rossouw at Elsabe.Rossouw@treasury.gov.za.

Note: lgbigfiles@gmail.com is no longer available.

Municipalities are required to send printed submissions of their budget documents and council resolution to:

For couriered documents

Ms Linda Kruger
National Treasury
40 Church Square
Pretoria, 0002

For posted documents

Ms Linda Kruger
National Treasury
Private Bag X115
Pretoria, 0001

In addition to the above-mentioned budget documentation, metropolitan municipalities must submit the draft Built Environment Performance Plan (BEPP) tabled in council by 29 March 2019 to Yasmin.coovadia@treasury.gov.za. If the BEPP documents are too large to be sent via email (exceeds 4MB) please submit to yasmin.coovadia@gmail.com or send to Yasmin Coovadia via Dropbox; any problems experienced in this regard can be addressed with Yasmin.Coovadia@treasury.gov.za. Hard copies of the BEPP may be sent to Yasmin Coovadia, National Treasury, 3rd floor 40 Church Square, Pretoria, 0002 or Private Bag X115, Pretoria, 0001.

7.2 Retirement of the Budget reform returns (Appendix B)

From 2019/20 onwards, municipalities will no longer be required to continue with the use of the Budget Reform Returns to upload budget and monthly expenditure to the National Treasury Local Government Database for publication purposes. The National Treasury will use only the *m*SCOA data strings required for submission as prescribed and all publications will use the data collected from the *m*SCOA data strings.

This places the responsibility on each municipality to ensure that:

1. Budgets are accurately locked into the financial system;
2. Each transaction is accurately recorded on a daily base;
3. Data collected from sub-systems is accurately represented in the General Ledger (GL);
4. At the end of the reporting period, all reconciliations are done, sub-systems are in balance and the Municipal Manager and CFO agrees with the figures as presented in the Schedule C and other management reports or dashboards;
5. The reporting period is **CLOSED** for further transactions; and
6. The data string for the period is produced directly out of the financial system and uploaded using the LG Upload Portal.

While the National Treasury will still share control reports and Schedule C as produced from the data uploaded by municipalities, the verification process before each quarterly Section 71 publication will fall away as the responsibility now lies with the municipality **BEFORE** submitting the data strings to ensure that the data is an accurate reflection of the state of municipal finances.

The tabled and adopted budget data strings submitted to the Local Government Database and Reporting system should also be consolidated figures.

NOTE: Municipalities must conclude all reporting for 2018/19 up to restated audit outcomes on the Appendix B (old electronic returns) to lgdatabase@treasury.gov.za before we can retire the returns.

7.3 Budget verification process

Annually during the budget verification process, it is noted that municipalities have challenges to align the audited years, which results in amendments to the Schedule A. Municipalities must ensure that the audited figures and adjusted budget figures captured on the Schedule A aligns to the annual financial statements and Schedule B respectively.

7.4 Publication of budgets on municipal websites

In terms of section 75 of the MFMA, all municipalities are required to publish their tabled budgets, adopted budgets, annual reports (containing audited annual financial statements) and other relevant information on the municipality's website. This will aid in promoting public accountability and good governance.

All relevant documents mentioned in this circular are available on the National Treasury website, <http://mfma.treasury.gov.za/Pages/Default.aspx>. Municipalities are encouraged to visit it regularly as documents are regularly added / updated on the website.

Contact



national treasury

Department:
National Treasury
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

Post Private Bag X115, Pretoria 0001
Phone 012 315 5009
Fax 012 395 6553
Website <http://www.treasury.gov.za/default.aspx>

JH Hattingh
Chief Director: Local Government Budget Analysis
07 December 2018

Annexure A – Changes to mSCOA Version 6.3

No.	Segment	Amendment
1	Item Revenue: National transfers and subsidies	Included all national transfers and subsidies as per MTBPS and allowed for the split between operating and capital as per the grant frameworks
2	Item Revenue: Provincial transfers and subsidies	Allowed provincial transfers and subsidies to be breakdown required where municipalities will specify the names of the grants
3	Item Revenue: Property rates	Included the new property categories as per section 8 of the Municipal Property Rates Act (MPRA)
4	Item Revenue: Sale of goods: Agricultural products	Added a separate line for fresh produce
5	Item Revenue	Added availability charges under non-exchange revenue
6	Item Expenditure: Operational costs	Included breakdown for Speaker under entertainment
7	Item Expenditure: Depreciation	Retired sport and recreation facilities as an asset category as it is included in community assets as per CIDMS
8	Item Expenditure	Changed the spelling for Eskom
9	Item gains and losses	Aligned the high level structure for impairment and reversal of impairment to depreciation and amortisation
10	Item gains and losses and Item assets	Added a line for impairment of construction work in progress assets as per GRAP 21
11	Item assets	Retired libraries as an asset category as it is included in community assets as per CIDMS
12	Item assets: Construction Work in Progress	Added a line to transfer assets from construction work in progress to completed assets
13	Item assets	Changed the definition for general plant to align to the ASB guideline
14	Function	Retired the line item for Budget and Treasury Office as it is part of Finance
15	Function	Retired public forces, traffic and street parking control from Road Transport and included it as Public Safety
16	Region	Included ward / township breakdown as requested by municipalities
17	Region	Retired decommissioned municipalities due to demarcation
18	Region	Swopped municipalities included under DC 36 and DC 30
19	Region	Retired duplicated municipality

Annexure B – Changes to Schedule A1 – the ‘Excel formats’


As noted above, National Treasury has released Version 6.3 of Schedule A1 (the Excel Formats). It incorporates the following changes:

No.	Sheet	Amendment	Reason
1	A2A	Budget and Treasury office has been removed	It is a duplication of Finance
2	A4	Line 10 Service charges – other is no longer available	Not available on the mSCOA chart
3	A6	Line 20 Agriculture is no longer available	Not available on the mSCOA chart
2	A6	Formula link change on line 32 Trade and other payables	Adjustments made on SA3
4	A8	Formula link change on line 31 Creditors due	Adjustments made on SA3
5	A9	Adjustments made to lines 134 to 165 Asset Register Summary – PPE (WDV)	Only high level summary required
6	SA3	Line 35 and 36 – split Trade payables and Other creditors	To refine calculation of creditors days ratio
8	SA8	Note: Formula in line 23 now only take into account Trade payables	Due to change made on SA3
9	SA25	Line 10 Service charges – other is no longer available	Not available on the mSCOA chart
11	SA30	Line 10 Service charges – other is no longer available	Not available on the mSCOA chart

Annexure C – Participation in RT15-2016 Vodacom transversal contract

Participation in RT15-2016 Vodacom transversal contract for the supply and delivery of mobile communication services to the state

It has become apparent that an increasing number of municipalities are intending to embrace smart technology to augment their operations. One such opportunity is through the RT15-2016 transversal contract that provides the option for an account management service offering in respect of smart meters. The pre-requisite, however, is that the municipality intending to participate must demonstrate evidence of adequate operating budget provision over the MTREF. The following process is applicable for the approval to participate.



In this regard the municipality should engage the Office of the Chief Procurement Officer (OCPO) in the National Treasury as the first point of contact. Kindly contact Ms. Kwanele Mtembu, Tel: (012) 406 9188 or email: Kwanele.Mtembu@treasury.gov.za for guidance.

Annexure D – MFMA Circular No. 71 aligned mSCOA Dashboard

The objective of the attached excel spreadsheet is to assist municipalities and system vendors to populate the indicators contained in MFMA Circular No. 71 automatically. Secondly, all system vendor dashboards should host as a minimum these indicators. It will assist the Accounting Officer to understand the overall financial management position of the municipality before submitting the regulated Budget and Reporting Schedules and mSCOA data strings to the Local Government Database and Reporting System (LGDRS).